## **ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - #5**

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF _		COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA
STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA,	:	
	:	
Plaintiff,	•	
	:	
VS.	:	CRIMINAL INDICTMENT
	:	No.: 778
	:	
JUSTIN WUSSO &		
ALEX WUSSO		

Defendants

### **INTRODUCTION**

There are two types of legal proceedings that make up the justice system in the United States. One involves **CRIMINAL CASES** in which the State is the **PLAINTIFF** and in which the **DEFENDANT** is a person charged with having committed a **CRIME**. The other type of case is what is known as a **CIVIL CASE** in which private persons, corporations, other legal entities or even government agencies can be either the **PLAINTIFF** or the **DEFENDANT** and which is a legal proceeding to resolve private disputes among the parties. Criminal cases always involve allegations of crime. Civil cases involve private disputes such as claims that someone breached a "**CONTRACT**," or committed a "**TORT**" which is a claim against a person, company, or someone else for injury to the plaintiff's person or property. The case we are here today on is a **CRIMINAL CASE**, in which the State has accused both Justin Wusso & Alex Wusso of two (2) Counts, with Count I being the unlawful possession, sale, or distribution of prescription drugs; and Count II, involuntary manslaughter.

## BAILIFF: All rise. This Court is now in session.

- JUDGE: Please be seated. Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, this is a criminal case alleging that on May 10<sup>th</sup>, 2010, defendants Justin Wusso and Alex Wusso illegally possessed, sold or distributed a controlled substance, Ritalin, that is a central nervous system stimulant known as an amphetamine; and that they are also guilty of involuntary manslaughter as a result of giving some of the medication to a friend who was then involved in an automobile accident that caused her death. You will be instructed on the law at the end of this case. You need to know from the outset, however, that it is up to the state to prove, beyond a reasonable doubt, that each defendant is guilty as charged in the **INDICTMENT**, based solely on the evidence you will hear in this case, and not based on any preconceived notions of guilt or innocence of the parties, or anything you may have read in the past about Justin Wusso or Alex Wusso.
- JUDGE: The first part of the trial is what is called **VOIR DIRE**. Voir Dire means "to speak the truth," and it is the time of the trial when I must determine whether or not each and every juror is free of prejudice and can render a fair and impartial **VERDICT** based solely upon the evidence that they hear in the case, not what they have heard or read in the media, or saw on television, or may have heard by hearsay or gossip. Therefore, I first want to know if any jurors have heard anything in the past, or read anything about either Justin Wusso or Alex Wusso, or this case?
- JURORS: No, Judge. *(If yes, raise your hand and tell the Judge what you know.)*
- JUDGE: Is each and every juror here willing to take an oath, the highest form of promise that a citizen can give, that they will render an impartial verdict based solely on the evidence?

JURORS: Yes, Judge.

JUDGE:All right then, let me explain to the jury the different parts of a trial.<br/>Because the state must prove guilt beyond a reasonable doubt, it is up to<br/>the state to proceed first, so you will first hear the **OPENING**<br/>**STATEMENT** of the State's **ATTORNEY**; and then you will hear the<br/>**OPENING STATEMENT** of the attorneys for Justin Wusso and Alex<br/>Wusso.

	After Opening Statements, you will then hear the state's <b>WITNESSES</b> and after that, either or both defendants may call any witnesses they choose. Any witness called by any party may be cross-examined by any other party. After you hear all of the evidence from the witnesses, you will then hear the <b>CLOSING ARGUMENTS</b> first from the state's attorney and then from the attorneys for the defendants. After the <b>CLOSING</b> <b>ARGUMENTS</b> are completed, I will give you <b>INSTRUCTIONS ON</b> <b>THE LAW</b> to help guide you in your <b>DELIBERATIONS</b> .
STATE'S	Is the state ready to proceed?
ATTORNEY:	Yes, Your Honor.
JUDGE:	Is the Defendant Justin Wusso ready to proceed?
JUSTIN'S ATTORN	EY: Yes, your Honor.
JUDGE:	Is the Defendant Alex Wusso ready to proceed?
ALEX'S ATTORNEY:	Yes, your Honor.
JUDGE:	The State may proceed with its opening statement.
STATE'S ATTORNEY:	Ladies and gentlemen of the Jury, in the opening statement it is my duty to tell you what the evidence will be. I'll tell you what we will prove. We will prove that Justin Wusso and Alex Wusso (often referred to as Wizards in Training) were considered pretty "cool." They graduated from high school just last year, had a lot of friends, dressed well, and seemed to be the kind of young people who had everything going for them—everything, that is, until May 10 <sup>th</sup> , 2010. That's when they found some prescription medication at their own home called Ritalin, that was prescribed for their little brother, Max Wusso, who has Attention Deficit Disorder with Hyperactivity (ADDH), which is a drug you can only get with a prescription, and which is a type of drug, which you will hear referred to during this trial, as an "amphetamine," which acts as a stimulant to the central nervous system.
	Winkle) into trying some of the amphetamine pills with him. Both of them liked how the drugs made them feel—wide awake, and alert, and in the

mood to party all night! But as you will learn from the witnesses we will call in this case, the effects of this drug---that feeling of alertness, of being wide awake, can change in a moment to make you feel sleepy, groggy, like your mind has become lost in a fog. It can affect your ability to drive a car, or operate any other equipment or machinery. The unfortunate truth in this case is that Jennifer Rock took this drug, after it was given to her by either Justin or Alex Wusso or both, and when after a night of partying she was driving home, it made her drowsy. She fell asleep at the wheel and drove her car off Wheeling Hill. And, most tragically, ladies and gentlemen of the jury, she died from the injuries she sustained in that accident—all because she made that bad decision to take someone else's prescription drugs.

Just the taking of someone else's prescription drugs is illegal possession of a drug. And Jennifer Rock's bad decision led to the loss of her life. But she is not the only one who made a bad decision that night. Because if you sell or distribute someone else's prescription drugs to another, that constitutes a separate offense of sale or distribution of a controlled substance. And if that illegal act also causes someone else's death, you can also be found guilty of causing that person's death. So the other people who made a bad decision that night are the defendants, who are now on trial. And at the end of this trial, you will be asked to decide if each of them either possessed, sold, or distributed any of this controlled substance, any of which can constitute a serious offense. But the offense is worse, and the punishment increased, if not only possession is found, but the sale or distribution of an illegal drug to another is also found.

But the most serious charge against the defendants in this case is that either one of them or both of them distributed a quantity of this controlled substance to Ms. Jennifer Rock, causing her death. The Court will instruct you at the end of this case what constitutes the charge of involuntary manslaughter. It is enough for you to know now that if a person by negligent or unlawful conduct causes another person to die, even if the person didn't mean to cause harm to them, that person can be found guilty of involuntary manslaughter. At the end of this trial, you will agree that not only are Justin and Alex guilty of possessing and distributing this controlled substance to Jennifer Rock, they are both guilty of involuntary manslaughter in causing the death of Jennifer Rock. Thank you for your attention.

JUDGE: Counsel for Mr. Justin Wusso, you may proceed with your opening statement.

# JUSTIN'S ATTORNEY:

AITORNET.	Thank you Judge. Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, the evidence will be that the State cannot prove that Mr. Wusso took these pills in the first place and can't prove that he sold any to anyone. They can't even prove that he gave any to Jennifer Rock or Alex Wusso, or that it was this prescription medication that caused Ms. Rock to have the car accident that night. They also cannot prove that Ms. Rock even fell asleep at the wheel. What the evidence will show is that amphetamines are stimulants, not depressants, so you must find Mr. Wusso not guilty of everything, because the state just can't prove its case beyond a reasonable doubt.
JUDGE:	Defense counsel for Ms.Wusso, you may give your opening statement.
ALEX'S ATTORNEY:	Now, ladies and gentlemen, Alex Wusso is not a drug dealer, she was just trying to be one of the crowd by going along with her brother, Justin and trying one of the pills. She did not give Jennifer Rock any of those pills— Justin did. She loved Jennifer who she called Harper, like a sister. She even tried to save her at the hospital with her wizard powers, but as you will also sadly learn in this case, wizard powers are powerless against bad decisions, or illegal drugs. Ladies and Gentlemen of the jury, Alex will take the stand and admit in this case that she took one of the pills of her brother's medication; so she will essentially be admitting that she is guilty of "possession" of an illegal drug. But she denies that she sold or distributed any of this medication to her dear friend Jennifer Rock, and the evidence will be that it was her brother, Justin, who gave Jennifer the medication, and that the State will not be able to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that Alex is guilty of anything except simple "possession."
JUDGE:	The state may call its first witness.
STATE'S ATTORNEY:	The State calls Dr( <i>name of student playing role</i> ) the Ohio County Coroner.
CLERK:	Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
DOCTOR 1:	I do.
STATE'S	

ATTORNEY:	Please state your name.
DOCTOR 1:	My name is Doctor (name of student playing role.)
STATE'S ATTORNEY:	You are the coroner for Ohio County?
DOCTOR 1:	I am.
STATE'S	
ATTORNEY:	And you did the autopsy on the body of Ms. Jennifer Rock after her death?
DOCTOR 1:	Yes, I did.
STATE'S ATTORNEY:	What was the cause of her death?
DOCTOR 1:	Massive internal injuries of a traumatic nature as a result of her single car accident when she lost control and plunged over Wheeling Hill.
JUSTIN'S ATTORNEY:	Objection, it has not been established that she lost control.
JUDGE:	Overruled.
STATE'S ATTORNEY:	What else did your examination disclose?
DOCTOR 1:	We also found that at the time of her death, she had prescription medication in her blood—Ritalin, an amphetamine for the treatment of ADDH, the same drug that was in the pill bottle recovered from Ms. Alex Wusso after the accident.
STATE'S ATTORNEY:	Doctor, how do amphetamines affect the body?
DOCTOR 1:	They generally are considered a stimulant, and they can help a person who has been diagnosed with ADDH or certain other conditions focus their attention better, but in some instances, especially when the effects of the drug start to wear off, it can make users very sleepy, or groggy, and—that's why you usually see a warning on the label of the pill bottle that says " <i>do not drive or operate machinery while using this drug</i> ." What

OTATE 20	they say about amphetamines is that "What goes up must come down," and that the "up "feeling is not worth what they also call the "downer"— the feeling of lethargy and mental fogginess that patients experience afterward. And sadly, no amount of magic or wizardry is going to change what science has taught us about the effects of this drug.
STATE'S ATTORNEY:	Do you have an opinion to a reasonable degree of certainty as to whether the amount of that drug in Jennifer Rock's body would have affected her driving?
DOCTOR 1:	Most definitely I have an opinion, and my opinion is "Most definitely" it would have.
STATE'S	
ATTORNEY:	And do you have an opinion as to whether or not she became groggy or fell asleep when she was driving?
JUSTIN'S	
ATTORNEY:	Objection, the Doctor is not an expert in accident reconstruction, that opinion would be highly speculative!
JUDGE:	I'm going to sustain that objection.
STATE'S ATTORNEY:	Judge, we will be calling a reconstruction expert.
JUDGE:	Very well, proceed.
STATE'S	
ATTORNEY:	No further questions.
JUDGE:	Any cross-examination, Mr. or Ms. Wusso?
JUSTIN'S ATTORNEY:	Doctor, isn't it true that you can't say for sure that amphetamines caused
	her to drive her car over Wheeling Hill?
DOCTOR 1:	Nothing is for sure, but it is the most likely thing, unless there would be some evidence that she drove off the hillside on purpose, of which there is no evidence.
JUSTIN'S ATTORNEY:	Well, you can't even rule out that she may have gotten tired and fallen asleep even without having taken this drug?

DOCTOR 1:	Again, that is a possibility, but unlikely when we found the drug in her system. Most probably it did affect her; and most probably it was the cause of her erratic driving, and death.
JUSTIN'S ATTORNEY:	No further questions.
ALEX'S ATTORNEY:	No questions, your honor.
JUDGE:	You may step down now, next witness please.
STATE'S ATTORNEY:	We call the Wusso family doctor. (DOCTOR #2).
CLERK:	Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
DOCTOR #2:	I do.
STATE'S ATTORNEY:	Please state your name and occupation.
DOCTOR #2:	My name is Doctor, <i>(name of student playing role)</i> and I am the family doctor for the Wusso family.
STATE'S ATTORNEY:	Let me show you the vial of pills recovered from Ms. Alex Wusso on the evening of May 10 <sup>th</sup> , 2010, when she and Justin Wusso went to the hospital after they heard of the accident involving Jennifer Rock. Did you prescribe those pills, Doctor?
DOCTOR # 2:	Yes I did.
STATE'S ATTORNEY:	For whom did you prescribe them?
DOCTOR #2:	They were prescribed for the younger brother of Justin and Alex, Max Wusso, who was taking them for ADDH. See it has his name on the bottle.
STATE'S ATTORNEY:	How many did you prescribe for him?

DOCTOR #2:	30 pills one for each day of the month.
STATE'S ATTORNEY:	When was the prescription filled?
DOCTOR #2:	It was filled on May 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2010.
STATE'S ATTORNEY:	That means as of May 10th, when this accident happened, there should have only been ten pills missing from the original 30 if only Max Wusso was taking them as prescribed.
DOCTOR: # 2:	That is correct.
STATE'S ATTORNEY:	Can you tell us how many are in the container?
DOCTOR #2:	There are only 5 left.
STATE'S ATTORNEY: JUSTIN'S	So there are approximately 15 missing that should be there.
ATTORNEY:	Objection, speculation!
JUDGE:	Overruled.
DOCTOR #2:	That's correct.
STATE'S ATTORNEY:	Nothing further.
JUDGE:	Attorneys for the Wussos, you may inquire.
JUSTIN'S ATTORNEY:	No questions, your honor.
JUDGE:	Any cross-examination by Alex Wusso?
ALEX'S ATTORNEY:	No questions, your honor.

JUDGE:	You may step down Doctor. Counsel, call your next witness.
STATE'S ATTORNEY:	State would call Ace(last name of student playing role).
JUDGE:	Bailiff, please get Ace ( <i>last name of student playing role</i> ) and bring him/her up to be sworn in.
CLERK:	Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
ACE:	I do.
STATE'S ATTORNEY:	Please state your name.
ACE:	My name is Ace( <i>name of student playing role</i> ), but you can call me Ace.
STATE'S ATTORNEY:	What's your occupation, Ace?
ACE:	Accident Reconstruction expert. Been doing it for 20 years. Pretty good at it too! That's why they call me Ace!
STATE'S ATTORNEY:	Have you looked into the facts of this car accident?
ACE:	Well of course I did. That's why they call me Ace.
STATE'S ATTORNEY:	And do you have an opinion as to how it happened?
ACE:	Well of course I do. That's why they call me Ace.
STATE'S ATTORNEY:	What is your opinion, Ace?
ACE:	No braking, no skid marks, car not going terribly fast, but enough to take out the guard rail, no sign of trying to stop the car to avoid hitting the guard rail at all—It would be my opinion that this person was not consciously in control at the time of the accident—most likely fell asleep at the wheel!
JUSTIN'S	

ATTORNEY:	Objection - speculation!
JUDGE:	Overruled.
STATE'S ATTORNEY:	No further questions.
JUDGE:	Do the defense attorneys have any questions?
JUSTIN'S ATTORNEY:	No your honor.
ALEX'S ATTORNEY:	No questions your honor.
JUDGE:	You may be excused – next witness please.
STATE'S ATTORNEY:	I'd like to call Officer(name of student playing role) to the stand.
CLERK:	Officer, do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
OFFICER:	I do.
STATE'S ATTORNEY:	Would you please state your name?
OFFICER:	Officer, (name of student playing role).
STATE'S ATTORNEY:	Your occupation?
OFFICER:	Member of the Wheeling (or other city) Police Department.
STATE'S ATTORNEY:	How long have you been on the force?
OFFICER:	Ten years, and counting.
STATE'S	

ATTORNEY:	Did you have occasion to investigate the death of Jennifer Rock on May 10 <sup>th</sup> , 2010.
OFFICER:	I did.
STATE'S ATTORNEY:	Can you tell us what you did on that night, officer?
OFFICER:	Got a 911 call that there was an accident, other patrol had already responded to the accident scene, and I was sent to the hospital, to see the condition of the injured driver. When I met with the Doctor at the hospital, I was advised Ms. Rock had died of her injures, but that two friends of hers were there who might be witnesses. So I spoke with them, they are the defendants here Justin Wusso and Alex Wusso.
STATE'S ATTORNEY:	What did they tell you?
OFFICER:	Well, they were both pretty emotional, didn't tell me much, but Alex Wusso reached in her purse and took out this bottle of pills we have here, and handed it to me. She was crying real hard, and kept saying she didn't give Ms. Rock any of the pills, just kept saying it; said she didn't want her to die, said she tried to save her with some wizard powers, but nothing helped, and she just kept crying. Mr. Wusso didn't say anything to me, only that he wanted to speak with an attorney.
STATE'S ATTORNEY:	Ms. Wusso gave you the pills, not Mr. Justin Wusso?
OFFICER:	No it was Ms. Alex Wusso. I'm sure of that. Kept saying though, "I didn't give her the pills" "I did not give her the pills."
STATE'S ATTORNEY:	Thank you officer. Your honor, we have no further questions for this witness, and we have no further witnesses. So at this time, the state "rests."
JUDGE:	First, do the defendants have any questions for this witness?
ALEX'S ATTORNEY:	No, your honor.
JUSTIN'S ATTORNEY:	No, your honor.

JUDGE:	Alright, Officer, you may step down – Now has defense counsel decided which defendant will be going first?
ALEX'S ATTORNEY:	We will, your honor, and we will be brief. We call Alex Wusso to the stand.
CLERK:	Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
ALEX:	I do.
JUDGE:	Ms. Wusso, you know that as a person charged with a crime, you do not have to take the stand and testify?
ALEX:	I do.
JUDGE:	And have you have discussed this with your attorney and want to voluntarily take the stand?
ALEX:	I have discussed it, and I do want to take the stand.
JUDGE:	Well, then, proceed counsel.
ALEX'S ATTORNEY	Can you tell me about the night of May 10 <sup>th</sup> , 2010?
ALEX:	Justin and my good friend Jennifer and I were at a party and Justin came up to us both and said he found some pills of our brothers that gave you a nice high, and we were willing to try one. Well, at the time, I thought Justin was being so cool, and I didn't want to look afraid in front of him you know – being my big brother and all, and so I said "sure, I'll try one", and then Jennifer said " O.K. me too", so we both took one. I knew it was wrong. I learned that in a WALS mock trial program back in grade school. I learned that taking prescription drugs from someone else was illegal, and giving them to another was also illegal but I wasn't thinking clearly that night. I was thinking like a child—that somehow my wizard powers would save me from anything badand I just wanted to fit in. So I went along. I know better now. I have grown up a lot since then. I know I have to take responsibility for my own actions. That's why I decided to come into court today and testify, and tell the jury exactly what happened that night., even if it means that I'm admitting to being guilty of a crime myself—the illegal possession of a controlled substance.
ALEX'S	

ATTORNEY	Did you tell the Officer on the night in question that you didn't give her the pills?
ALEX:	Well, I said, "I didn't give her any of the pills." I only took one. I think she only took one. At least that's what I thought. I didn't really see what Justin handed her.
JUDGE:	Counsel for the State, you may inquire.
STATE'S ATTORNEY:	Ms. Wusso, why are you the one who had the pill bottle in your purse when the police arrived at the hospital that night?
ALEX:	Justin is always so cool, but when we learned what had happened and went to the hospital, we were both so upset, and Justin couldn't even talk to me about it. I hardly ever saw Justin cry, but he cried that night. And I told him to give me the pills, that I was going to give them to the police. He gave them to me, and I put them in my purse, and gave them to the officer when he arrived at the hospital. And I don't think Justin said another word to me—or the Officer—that night.
STATE'S ATTORNEY:	No further questions your honor.
JUDGE:	Counsel for Mr. Wusso?
JUSTIN'S ATTORNEY:	If you and Jennifer only took one pill, why were there 15 missing?
ALEX:	I have no idea.
JUSTIN'S ATTORNEY:	Do you have any witnesses who saw Mr. Justin Wusso give you the bottle of pills at the hospital?
ALEX:	Not that I'm aware of.
JUSTIN'S ATTORNEY:	So you expect us to believe that you are not the one who gave Jennifer the pills?

ALEX:	I did not give her any pills. I did not! Justin did! And I'm sorry to say it because he is my brother, but that is the truth, and I gave an oath to tell the truth. <i>(Alex starts crying)</i> .		
JUSTIN'S ATTORNEY:	No further questions, your Honor.		
JUDGE:	You may step down Ms. Wusso. Now Mr. Wusso, will there be any witnesses on your behalf?		
JUSTIN'S ATTORNEY: We wi	ill not be presenting any further witnesses, your honor.		
JUDGE:	Very well. Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, you will now hear closing arguments - first on behalf of the state. Are you ready to proceed counsel?		
STATE'S ATTORNEY:	Yes, Judge. Ladies and Gentlemen of the jury, this is an open and shut case. It is unlawful in the state of West Virginia to possess or distribute a controlled substance, and prescription amphetamines are a controlled substance, unless they are possessed or distributed pursuant to a valid prescription by a medical doctor. Illegal drugs are not just cocaine, or crystal meth, or even marijuana, but prescriptions drugs themselves are illegal if distributed or taken without a doctor's prescription. There is a good reason for this. Prescription drugs can be as powerful as other drugs we always think of as illegal if they are abused by people who do not have a prescription. In this case, Ms. Wusso has admitted to illegal possession of this controlled substance. Mr. Wusso has argued the state cannot prove any charges against him beyond a reasonable doubt. But the fact of the matter, ladies and gentlemen, is that if you believe Ms. Wusso's testimony in this case, she is still guilty of possession, and Mr. Wusso is also guilty of possessing this controlled substance, but according to her testimony, Justin is also guilty of distributing this drug to Ms. Wusso and Ms. Rock, and of involuntary manslaughter, for causing Ms. Rock's death. On the other hand, if you disbelieve Ms. Wusso, and believe she was the one who distributed the controlled substance to Ms. Rock, then you may find her guilty as well of distribution, and of involuntary manslaughter. You may also find on this evidence that both of them possessed and distributed this controlled substance, and so both are guilty of involuntary manslaughter.		

JUDGE:	Now we shall hear from Ms. Wusso's counsel.		
ALEX'S ATTORNEY:	Thank you Judge. Ladies and Gentlemen of the jury, the only thing the state has proven, because Ms.Wusso admitted it on the stand, is that she illegally possessed a controlled substance. She did not sell it. She did not distribute it. She didn't give any pills to Jennifer Rock. You saw her testify. She had to testify against her own brother. You saw her cry. It was difficult for her. But did you not consider her a most credible witness? And yes, you may under these circumstances find her guilty in this case of "possession," but based on this evidence, you cannot find her guilty of any of the other counts. She did not cause her friend's death. She loved her friend, and came into court and told you exactly what happened for only two reasons—she had the responsibility to do so, and because what she told you was the truth.		
JUDGE:	Counsel for Mr. Wusso you may proceed with your closing statement.		
JUSTIN'S ATTORNEY:	Thank you Judge. Ladies and Gentlemen of the jury, the state has not proved beyond a reasonable doubt any of the charges against Mr. Wusso. Alex Wusso is the one who had the bottle of pills in her purse at the time of the police investigation. Other than her testimony, who's to say she didn't get Max's pills at home herself, and was the one who gave one or maybe more than one pill to Jennifer Rock. Remember the testimony that there were about 15 pills missing from that bottle, not just a few? Remember, it doesn't matter if Alex Wusso loved Jennifer Rock and tried or did not try to save Jennifer Rock with her wizard powers – we all know how deadly prescription drugs can be. No magic wand is ever going to change the reality of what happened that night. And remember: we have only heard from Alex Wusso. She is the only one that says it was Justin Wusso who distributed the pills; the only one who said it was Justin who gave any pills to Ms. Rock—and says she thinks it was just one. Is that the truth? She may just be trying to save herself! Because the State has not proven its case against Justin Wusso, not guilty of all charges.		
JUDGE:	It is now time for me to INSTRUCT you on the law of this case, after which you will be asked to deliberate and determine if the State has proven its case against either or both defendants BEYOND A REASONABLE DEGREE OF DOUBT.		

The jury is further instructed that amphetamines are a controlled substance and that unless distributed and taken pursuant to a valid prescription of a medical doctor, it is unlawful to possess, sell, or distribute such a controlled substance.

In addition, in West Virginia, involuntary manslaughter is causing the death of a person by a negligent or unlawful act whether or not it is the intention of the defendant to cause harm. So if either Mr. Justin Wusso or Ms. Alex Wusso, or both, unlawfully distributed any of this controlled substance to Ms. Rock, which caused her to lose control of her car and die as a result of her injuries, then you may find either Mr. Wusso or Ms. Wusso, or both guilty of involuntary manslaughter, if you find beyond a reasonable doubt that both distributed this drug and caused Ms. Rock's death.

You are further instructed that when you enter the jury room, you shall first pick a **FOREPERSON** and when you have reached a **VERDICT** you may press the buzzer and return to the courtroom at which time the **FOREPERSON** will read the verdict in open Court. Bailiff, please give the jury five minutes to deliberate.

BAILIFF: Yes, Judge.

## JURORS LEAVE ROOM TO DELIBERATE, and upon return:

- JUDGE:Will the foreperson of the jury please stand.<br/>Have you reached a verdict?
- FOREPERSON: We have (have not), Your Honor.

JUDGE:Will the defendants please stand.<br/>(Mr. Wusso and Ms. Wusso stand along with their lawyers)

You may read the verdict.

#### THEY READ VERDICT BELOW or FROM THEIR VERDICT FOREPERSON: FORM: \*\*\*\*\*\*\*

(As to each charge, check whether guilty or not guilty) As to the charges against Mr. Wusso, you honor, we find as follows:

Possession:		
Guilty	Not Guilty	<u> </u>
Distribution:		
Guilty	Not Guilty	<b>.</b>
Involuntary M	anslaughter:	
Guilty	Not Guilty	•

FOREPERSON: As to the charges against Ms. Wusso, we find as follows:

	Possession:					
	Guilty	Not Guilty				
	Distribution:					
	Guilty	Not Guilty	<u> </u> •			
	Involuntary Mat	Involuntary Manslaughter:				
	Guilty	Not Guilty	·			
	*****					
JUDGE:	So say you all?					
JURORS:	Yes, your Honor	r.				

JUDGE: Thank you jurors for your service. (BANG THE GAVEL) We hope you all have enjoyed learning about the criminal justice system, and you may now ask questions!

Script written by Patrick S. Cassidy, President The WALS Foundation - 2010 The WALS Foundation Mock Trial Program<sup>©</sup>